UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,767	03/10/2004	Patrick J. Helland	MS307035.1/MSFTP566US 4181		
27195 AMIN TURO	7590 02/07/2008 CV & CALVIN LLP		EXAMINER		
AMIN. TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER			MORAN, RANDAL D		
CLEVELAND	NTH STREET . OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	,		2135		
		÷			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
·			02/07/2008	· ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com osteuball@thepatentattorneys.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V · / · —		
Advisory Action	10/797,767	HELLAND ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Randal D. Moran	2135			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complifollowing time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ice, which CFR-41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expres months from the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In		
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07((f) .				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the price of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fe I statutory period for reply originally set	e. The appropriate exter in the final Office action;	ension fee under 37 ; or (2): &ssrtshein (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explanate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	ktension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of	f the appeal.		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered	because		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL -324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ w vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:			•		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-11, and 13-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will	not be entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 3. Other:					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance b ecause: With respect to applicants argument that Stallings fails to teach the session key thereafter being employed to encrypt the message and securely exchange the message, wherein the session key encrypted message is further encrypted using a private key securely associated with an initiator of the message. Examiner disagrees and directs the applicant to Stallings p. 265 - lines 15-17., Stallings explicitly discloses the sender signs a message with its private key. Dig itally signing a message is a very well known feature in the art and is explicitly disclosed by Stallings.

MIM WU

OFF PATENT EXAMIN